S.37

File With

## **SECTION 131 FORM**

Appeal No ABP— 314455-22	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submis from Lukasz Polonski I and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked	recommend that section 131 of the Planning
no neu material	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.  Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks	for reply.
Signed Pat Be	Date 21/12/2023
Signed	Date
SEO/SAO	
М	
Please prepare BP — Section 131 notice	enclosing a copy of the attached submission.
To Task No	Allow 2/3/4 weeks BP
Signed	Date
EO Signed	Pote
AA	Date





## Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference NPA-OBS-003001

Online Observation Details		
Contact Name Lukasz Polanski	Lodgement Date 14/12/2023 16:05:55	Case Number / Description 314485
Payment Details		
Payment Method Online Payment	Cardholder Name Lukasz Polanski	Payment Amount €50.00
Processing Section		
S.131 Consideration Required Yes — See attached 13	Date	A — Invalid
EO		1112120
Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of €	Lodgeme LDG-	
Reason for Refund		0,00.00
Reason for Refund  Documents Returned to Observer  Yes No		Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva
Documents Returned to Observer Yes No	Ye	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva
Documents Returned to Observer Yes No	Ye	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approve
Documents Returned to Observer Yes No Signed  EO  Finance Section Payment Reference	Date	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approve
Documents Returned to Observer  Yes No Signed	Date Checked	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approve PS NO  Against Fee Income Online
Payment Reference  Ch_3ONHQ7B1CW0EN5FC0Kh	Date Checked	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approve  No  Against Fee Income Online
Payment Reference  ch_3ONHQ7B1CW0EN5FC0Kh	Date Checked	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approve  No  Against Fee Income Online
Payment Reference  Ch_3ONHQ7B1CW0EN5FC0Kh	Date Checked	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approve  No  Against Fee Income Online
Payment Reference  ch_3ONHQ7B1CW0EN5FC0Kh	Date Checked	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approva
Documents Returned to Observer  Yes No Signed  EO  Finance Section  Payment Reference  ch_3ONHQ7B1CW0EN5FC0Kh	Date  Checked  Date  Checked  EO/AA (A  Refund D	Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approved No No Against Fee Income Online

Dear Board Members,

M. . . . . .

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to address some significant concerns I have regarding the recent changes in airport noise regulations, specifically pertaining to the alterations in operational hours, flight movement limitations, and the retention of existing flight paths.

The changes outlined, namely:

#1: Extension of Daytime Hours - The adjustment from the previous operational hours of 7 am to 11 pm to the new timings of 6 am to midnight raises concerns among the local community. This shift in timing potentially impacts the daily routines and sleep patterns of residents and raises questions about the quality of life in the affected areas.

#2: Removal of Night-time Movement Cap - The transition from a capped system of 65 flights per night to an unlimited flight movement, under the guise of a noise quota system, poses serious concerns. This change disregards the necessity for regulated night-time activities, which significantly impacts the tranquility and well-being of those residing in the proximity of the airport.

#3: Retention of Existing Flight Paths - The decision to maintain the current flight paths, deviating from the approved flight path from the 2007 planning permission, is troubling. This deviation potentially contradicts the initial planning permissions and raises questions about compliance with established regulations.

These changes collectively have sparked alarm and discontent among the community members affected by airport noise. There are significant apprehensions regarding the potential adverse impact on health, well-being, and the overall living conditions in the vicinity of the airport due to increased noise pollution.

I kindly request the board to reconsider these recent alterations and to engage in a transparent dialogue with the affected community members. It is imperative to weigh the concerns of residents and explore alternative measures that balance the operational needs of the airport with the well-being of the surrounding population.

I would greatly appreciate your attention to these concerns and look forward to the possibility of constructive discussions and actions to address these issues.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Lukasz Polanski

11 Seabury Road

K36 NP27 Malahide

Co. Dublin